

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

STATE OF NEW MEXICO *ex rel.*
State Engineer, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

69cv07941 BB
Rio Chama Adjudication

RAMON ARAGON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

ORDER

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the following *pro se* Motions:

<u>Doc. No.</u>	<u>Filed Date</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Subfile No.</u>
9704	May 4, 2010	Ray R. Velarde	255, 259 (<i>See</i> Doc. No. 9622) ¹
9705	May 4, 2010	Ron Velarde	257, 260 (<i>See</i> Doc. No. 9658)
9719	May 21, 2010	Tammy Griffith	254 (<i>See</i> Doc. No. 9643).

The content of the *pro se* motions now before the Court is identical² to that of several other *pro se* motions that the Court has previously construed as objections to the Special Master's Report on Priorities for Three Acequias (Doc. No. 9546, filed December 16, 2009). (*See* Mem. Op. and Order at 4, Doc. No. 9720, filed May 21, 2010). The Court has ruled that it would limit its consideration of objections to the Special Master's Report to those filed by persons that timely objected to the Notices and Orders to Show Cause or their successors-in-interest. (*See* Mem. Op.

¹The *pro se* Motions refer to previously filed Objections to the Special Master's Report (Doc. No. 9546, filed December 16, 2009) but do not identify the relevant subfile numbers. The documents cited after the subfile numbers are the previously filed Objections which identify the relevant subfile numbers.

²The Motions are forms with the only differences being the names of the *pro se* parties and the docket numbers referencing their objections to the Special Master's Report.

and Order at 3-4, Doc. No. 9700, filed April 28, 2010) (listing the parties, along with their respective subfile numbers, that filed timely objections to the Notices and Orders to Show Cause)).

The Court will **DENY** the Motions now before the Court because there were no timely objections to the Notices and Orders to Show Cause for their respective subfiles. (*See* Mem. Op. and Order at 4-5, Doc. No. 9720, filed May 21, 2010) (ruling on several other *pro se* motions which are identical to those now before the Court.).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Bruce D. Black". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly stylized font. The first name "Bruce" is written in a more fluid, connected script, while "D." is a simple dot, and "Black" is written in a more upright, blocky cursive style.

BRUCE D. BLACK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE